

Fiscal Note 2009 Biennium

Bill # Primary Sponsor:	HB0593 Furey, Kevin T		-	Title:		speed limit near Milltown
☐ Significant Local Gov Impact☐ Included in the Executive Budget		Needs to be included in HB 2 Significant Long-Term Impacts			Technical Concerns Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	

FISCAL SUMMARY

Expenditures:	FY 2008 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2009 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:	Ф1 125	φ1. 5 00	ф1. 7 00	φ1. 7 00
General Fund	\$1,125	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500
Net Impact-General Fund Balance	\$1,125	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500

Description of Fiscal Impact:

There could be a positive impact to the state general fund due to Montana Highway Patrol (MHP) fine revenue. There would be a cost (\$1,129) associated with resigning the designated area but this cost would be absorbed by the Montana Department of Transportation.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Department of Justice – Montana Highway Patrol (MHP)

- 1. An average of 600 citations per year are issued by the MHP on the roadways mentioned in HB 593.
- 2. Total revenues from these citations were approximately \$15,600.
- 3. Revenue from MHP citations are split 50/50 between the state general fund and the county in which the citation was issued.
- 4. Changing the speed limit as proposed would result in an added \$5 per citation issued, or \$1,500 additional revenue to the state general fund based on the above assumptions.

5. The effective date of this measure if passed would be October 1, 2007, and revenues for FY2008 are adjusted accordingly.

Montana Department of Transportation (DoT)

- 6. Estimated 10 speed limit signs will need to be replaced at an estimated cost of \$1,129 in FY 2008.
- 7. Costs are estimated from in-house materials, labor, and equipment and could be absorbed by the DoT.
- 8. There is a seven year life cycle of speed limit signs.

	FY 2008 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2009 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>
Fiscal Impact:				
Department of Justice				
Expenditures: TOTAL Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Funding of Expenditures: General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenues: General Fund (01)	\$1,125	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500
Net Impact to Fund Balance	Revenue minus Fu	ınding of Expenditı	ures):	
General Fund (01)	\$1,125	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500

Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:

There would be a similar increase in revenue to the Missoula County general fund.

Date

Sponsor's Initials

Date

Budget Director's Initials